1. I am pleased to present this report on the progress that RusAF has made since March to satisfy the conditions set by Council for its reinstatement to membership of the IAAF.¹

**Engagement with RusAF since the Council’s March 2019 meeting**

2. Since March 2019, the Taskforce has continued to receive regular updates from RusAF on its anti-doping efforts. In particular, RusAF has advised that:

2.1 RUSADA has 430 RusAF athletes in its testing pools for 2019 (up from 380 in 2018). It has collected 1,584 samples from them in the year to date; and it expects to collect a total of 2,300-2,500 samples from RusAF athletes by the end of 2019. Meanwhile the Athletics Integrity Unit (AIU) has advised that it continues to be able to test RusAF’s international-level athletes effectively and without any improper interference.

2.2 In 2019 to date, RusAF has endorsed 286 applications for grant of neutral athlete status, of which so far 66 have been granted by the Doping Review Board and 22 have been rejected.

2.3 Excluding results of re-analysis of stored samples, 12 RusAF athletes were found to have violated the anti-doping rules in 2016, 20 in 2017, 22 in 2018, and 7 in 2019 to date.

2.4 RusAF acknowledges that more needs to be done to implement and strengthen the many various reforms it has introduced since its suspension. For example, it is now considering steps to ensure that the regional federations also implement the change to a culture of zero tolerance for doping that it has championed from Moscow. This includes recruiting representatives of regional federations who are committed to the change in culture to join RusAF’s Council.

---

¹ As a reminder, RusAF was suspended from membership of the IAAF in November 2015, after a WADA Commission concluded there was a systemic and deeply-rooted culture of doping in Russian athletics. Council decided that, in order to be reinstated to membership, RusAF must demonstrate that it meets the following Reinstatement Conditions: (i) it complies in full with the World Anti-Doping Code and IAAF Anti-Doping Rules; (ii) the IAAF and RUSADA (the Russian national anti-doping organisation) are and will be able to conduct their anti-doping programmes in Russia (in particular, drug-testing) effectively and without interference; and (iii) as a result, the reintegration of Russian athletes into international competitions will not jeopardise the integrity of those competitions. A number of specific Verification Criteria were identified that have to be met as part of this task.

In April 2017, Council approved a Roadmap proposed by the Taskforce that identified six specific conditions to be met in order for the Taskforce to consider recommending RusAF’s reinstatement. And in 2018 Council added a further requirement relating to the LIMS data provided by WADA to the IAAF in December 2017.

All of these requirements are designed to ensure that RusAF establishes a culture of zero tolerance towards doping in Russian athletics, and that RusAF, RUSADA, and the public authorities in Russia, working in cooperation, create an anti-doping infrastructure that is effective in detecting and deterring cheats, so that RusAF and its athletes can start participating again in international competitions without jeopardising the integrity of those competitions.
3. Jon Taylor and I have met with RusAF President Dmitry Shlyakhtin twice since the last Council meeting:

3.1 We met Mr Shlyakhtin in Oslo on 27 March 2019 to discuss allegations broadcast by ARD that 63 of the 94 national team coaches listed on the RusAF website were active coaches in the period 2007-2015, during which (we now know) there was widespread doping in Russian track & field. That includes Valentin Maslakov, who was head coach of the Russian national team in that period and of the women’s 4x400m relay team that won gold at the 2013 IAAF World Championships, two of whom were subsequently banned for doping. Mr Shlyakhtin disputed the suggestion that this indicated RusAF had not sought to distance itself from the 'old guard'. He noted that (a) there is currently no Russian national team, because of RusAF’s suspension from membership of the IAAF; (b) the list of national team coaches is nevertheless maintained to ensure the Centre for Sports Preparation (CSP) maintains a budget for athletics; (c) Mr Maslakov remains on the list because he is a highly respected professional, none of the athletes he has been directly involved in training has ever been found to have doped, he has never been accused of complicity in doping by any athletes, and there are no other grounds to dismiss him; (d) there are only 21 national team coaches in total on the list, not 94, and of that 21 only two were part of the national team coaching staff in the period 2007-2015, and none of their athletes has ever been found to have doped; (e) all coaches currently directly involved in training athletes have successfully completed anti-doping training and have received licences under RusAF’s new licensing system for coaches wishing to participate in official RusAF competitions; and (f) whereas previously there were no consequences for coaches whose athletes were found to have doped, various amendments made to Russian law and RusAF rules since 2015 mean that such a coach could lose his job, would lose his RusAF licence (and so could not earn prize money from his athletes' performances at official RusAF competitions), and could be subject to criminal and administrative penalties. Following our meeting, Mr Shlyakhtin raised the matter with the Russian Minister of Sport, Pavel Kolobkov, and told us that Mr Kolobkov was clear that the CSP should only engage coaches who have embraced the new culture of zero tolerance for doping. The Taskforce notes these comments at face value, but will continue to monitor the situation relating to national team coaches closely moving forward.

3.2 We met with Mr Shlyakhtin again yesterday in Nice at his request, to discuss a matter that he himself had brought to our attention (and which has since been reported in the Russian and British media), namely that the AIU is investigating allegations that senior RusAF officials were complicit in an elite Russian athlete's provision of fabricated medical records in an effort to avoid being suspended for a whereabouts violation. Mr Shlyakhtin said RusAF had nothing to hide, and he has personally committed to the AIU that RusAF will cooperate in full with the AIU’s investigation. He explained how he had taken steps to ensure that all relevant RusAF personnel make themselves available to the AIU for interview and also permitted disclosure to the AIU of all relevant electronic data and information in RusAF’s control. The Taskforce understands the AIU’s investigation is ongoing.

4. There have also been the following further developments since March:

4.1 In May 2019, RUSADA Director-General Yuriy Ganus published an open letter to President Putin, blaming the current RusAF management for failing to secure the reinstatement of RusAF to IAAF membership, and calling for their replacement by a special committee to secure such reinstatement prior to the 2020 Olympic Games. In the letter Mr Ganus said: 'RusAF needs real changes, everyone shall leave the world of illusions long ago. We need to stop deceiving not only everyone around us, but above all ourselves'; and
referred to ‘possible involvement of the current RusAF management in the crisis, when life-
time disqualified coaches continue their work with leading athletes, with main sportsmen of
the National Team, disqualified athletes are included in the management of the sports
training centres in the regions’. In response, Minister Kolobkov was reported in the media as
saying he did not believe there were any grounds for such action. The Taskforce had already
been in dialogue with Mr Ganus before he sent the letter, asking him to explain the basis for
his concerns about the current RusAF management. We will pursue the issue with him
moving forward.

4.2 In addition, earlier this week Reuters issued a report alleging that two banned
coaches (Mokhnhev and Volkov) and one banned doctor (Portugalov) were still involved in
preparing athletes for competition, while Viktor Chegin was still somehow involved with the
sports training centre in Saransk that used to bear his name. This problem has arisen before,
and when the Taskforce previously raised it with RusAF, Mr Shlyakhtin agreed to take
various steps to prevent repetition, including asking the regional authorities to help enforce
the bans, and getting all national team athletes to acknowledge in writing that they were
not permitted to associate with the banned individuals. We raised the issue with Mr
Shlyakhtin again yesterday, and he expressed great frustration that the same allegations
were being made again, and said he had written immediately to RUSADA, asking that it
investigate the matter urgently.

Current status

5. So where does this leave us?

6. At its December 2018 meeting, Council identified two outstanding reinstatement
requirements. The first relates to costs:

6.1 Council resolved that, in accordance with Verification Criterion 7.2, RusAF must
reimburse all of the costs incurred by the IAAF prior to and after the reinstatement of RusAF
as a result of the need to impose, enforce and assess compliance with the Reinstatement
Conditions and the Verification Criteria (including the Taskforce costs, the costs of the
Russia-related cases that have been taken to CAS, and the costs incurred by the Doping
Review Board from 1 January 2018 in dealing with applications from Russian athletes for
neutral athlete status). Council also resolved that RusAF must sign a formal legal agreement
to pay any further relevant costs incurred by the IAAF following RusAF’s reinstatement (e.g.,
the costs of monitoring compliance with post-reinstatement conditions).

6.2 I am pleased to report that (a) a formal legal contract has been agreed and signed
with RusAF that meets Council’s requirements, and that committed RusAF to pay all
outstanding invoices before 1 June 2019, as well as all ongoing costs (including the costs of
overseeing satisfaction of any post-reinstatement conditions imposed by Council) on a
quarterly basis moving forward; and (b) RusAF paid the three outstanding invoices in full by
transferring the sum of US$3,246,948 to the IAAF’s designated bank account on 31 May
2019.

In October 2018, the IAAF sent RusAF invoice ARAF 09/2018 in the amount of
US$2,641,465.65 for reimbursement of costs incurred to 30 June 2018. In March 2019, the IAAF sent
RusAF invoice ARAF 12/2018 in the amount of US$457,701.73 for reimbursement of costs incurred in
the period 1 July 2018 to 31 December 2018. In April 2019, the IAAF sent RusAF invoice ARAF 03/2019
in the amount of US$147,780.63 for reimbursable costs incurred in the quarter ending 31 March
2019.
6.3 Moving forward, the IAAF Finance Department will invoice RusAF after each quarter for the reimbursable costs incurred in that quarter, starting with the quarter ending 30 June 2019. RusAF has committed to pay such invoices within 20 days of receipt. Provided it does so, this condition will continue to be satisfied.

7. The second outstanding requirement discussed by Council in December 2018 relates to the 1,800 samples collected from RusAF athletes in the period 2012 to August 2015 that are referenced in the LIMS database that WADA provided to the AIU in late 2017.

7.1 Council resolved that RusAF may not be reinstated unless and until the AIU confirms that it is satisfied that:

(a) it has been given the information it requires to identify all of the RusAF athletes who provided the 1800 samples in question;

(b) it has received all of the analytical data produced by the Moscow lab when it analysed those samples (i.e., the chromatograms, the electropherograms, chain of custody records, etc.);

(c) any samples that the AIU considers need to be re-analysed (and that are still in the possession of the Moscow lab) have been sent to a WADA-accredited laboratory for re-analysis;

(d) these data and samples are authentic and have not been tampered with; and

(e) the AIU therefore has everything in the possession of the Moscow anti-doping laboratory that it needs to determine whether any of the RusAF athletes whose samples were included in the LIMS database has a case to answer for breach of the IAAF Anti-Doping Rules and/or the RusAF Anti-Doping Rules.

7.2 In January 2019, WADA obtained forensic copies of all of the relevant data from the Moscow laboratory (approximately 24 terabytes in total), and in April 2019 WADA obtained 2,262 samples previously stored in the Moscow laboratory, including 493 samples requested by the AIU. At the AIU's request, WADA also secured an undertaking from the Russian authorities to retain for the next 24 months certain further samples stored at the laboratory that are not currently of interest, and to produce them upon demand for re-analysis if subsequently requested by WADA or the AIU.

7.3 WADA has advised the AIU that it will pass most of the data that relate to RusAF athletes to the AIU on 18 June 2019, amounting to 110,000 files (several hundred gigabytes of data). WADA has also explained that it is still in the process of reviewing the remaining data (relating to the samples collected in 2015), and so will only provide that at a later date. WADA also agreed to hold the samples collected from RusAF athletes to the AIU’s order, and will transfer them to a WADA-accredited laboratory for re-analysis upon request by the AIU.

7.4 The AIU will start analysing the data immediately upon receipt from WADA, (a) to determine whether the data provided meets its requirements; (b) to decide which of the samples that WADA has obtained need to be re-analysed, and ultimately (c) to decide which of the athletes in question have a case to answer for breach of the anti-doping rules. The
AIU has advised that it will make every effort to complete that task as soon as possible, but it is not yet in a position to say how long this will take, particularly given that it will not get the 2015 data from WADA till a later date. Therefore, this condition has not yet been met.

8. Council was also clear that reinstatement would also depend on RusAF continuing to satisfy the other requirements in the Verification Criteria and the Roadmap. This raises the following points:

8.1 First, Verification Criterion 6.1 requires RusAF to ‘provide appropriate assurances that its officials, coaches and athletes and all other persons under its jurisdiction will act in accordance with the [World Anti-Doping] Code moving forward’. This obviously raises the question of the AIU’s investigation referenced above. If RusAF fails to cooperate in full with that investigation, and/or if ultimately it is found that RusAF officials were complicit in an effort to fabricate evidence to cover up a doping offence, or there is any other finding of improper conduct by RusAF officials, that will obviously be highly relevant to the question of whether this requirement has been met. The Taskforce will therefore monitor this issue very closely moving forward, liaising as appropriate with the AIU.

8.2 Second, Verification Criterion 6 requires RusAF to ‘establish[] a strong anti-doping culture among RusAF officials, athletes and athlete support personnel’; while Verification Criterion 6.1 requires RusAF to ‘demonstrat[e] that effective mechanisms are in place to ensure proper enforcement of any consequences imposed for anti-doping rule violations, including full respect for any periods of ineligibility imposed’. The recent allegations regarding the continued activities of banned coaches raise again the question of whether these requirements have been met. Once again, the Taskforce will be monitoring RUSADA’s investigation of these recent allegations very closely. If the allegations are found to be true, the Taskforce will be considering what that tells us about the commitment in Russian athletics to embrace the new culture of zero tolerance for doping.

Recommendation

9. It is of course important that RusAF has now paid all of the costs invoiced to date (over $3.2 million). And the Taskforce also acknowledges the efforts made by Minister Kolobkov to get the data and samples from the Moscow anti-doping laboratory to WADA, which means that second outstanding condition is also much closer to being satisfied. Given that progress, however, it is extremely frustrating for the Taskforce, and (we expect) for Council too, that there are new doubts about whether other conditions have been met.

10. The Taskforce acknowledges that RusAF President Dmitry Shlyakhtin has been very upfront about these recent problems, and has assured the Taskforce that he is doing everything in his power to get them investigated and resolved as soon as possible. Mr Shlyakhtin has repeatedly reaffirmed his commitment to the new culture of zero tolerance for doping in Russian athletics. However, the question is whether all Russian officials and athletes share that commitment, particularly in the regions. Perhaps it will require an intervention from President Putin himself to help them get the message that things must change.

11. If and when the Taskforce considers that all outstanding conditions have been met, it will recommend reinstatement of RusAF’s membership benefits, most likely in phases. Any such recommendation will also include proposals as to the post-reinstatement conditions that the Taskforce considers Council should impose on RusAF to guard against future back-
sliding. While the matters mentioned above remain outstanding, however, the Taskforce is unable to recommend the reinstatement of RusAF’s membership of the IAAF at this time.

12. Therefore, the Taskforce RECOMMENDS that Council resolves simply to note the contents of this report. The Taskforce will then report again at the Council meeting in Doha on 23 September 2019, or before that if appropriate (i.e., if there is material movement on the outstanding conditions before that, the Taskforce may put a recommendation to Council for consideration before the September meeting).

Rune Andersen, Taskforce Chair
8 June 2019